

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS -
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problems Mailbox.**

09 890892

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
30 August 2001 (30.08.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/63526 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: **G06F 17/60**
- (21) International Application Number: **PCT/US01/05609**
- (22) International Filing Date: 23 February 2001 (23.02.2001)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
60/184,246 23 February 2000 (23.02.2000) US
- (71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **OPEN-WEBS CORPORATION** [US/US]; 2403 Sidney Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15203 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **HAYES, Philip, J.** [US/US]; 215 Richard Lane, Pittsburgh, PA 15208 (US). **MCKENNA, Aidan, J.** [US/US]; 619 East Drive, Sewickley, PA 15143 (US). **MCLAREN, Bruce, M.** [US/US]; 1018 Heths Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15206 (US).
- (74) Agent: **Lesley L. COULSON**; Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, 1800 M. Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20036-5869 (US).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:**
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

RECEIVED
FEB 11 2002
Technology Center 2100

(54) Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CREATING AND USING A PEER-TO-PEER TRADING NETWORK

(57) Abstract: The method and system of the present invention provide an electronic platform that enables any participant in a trading network to trade with any other participant in the trading network according to its own individual trading rules. The trading rules are individual and private, and each participant may have a plurality of rules that govern trading. The trading rules may include Buy-side trading rules that govern partner selection and offer to sell evaluation, and Sell-side trading rules that govern how a participant responds to a request. The trading rules may be applied by the system in a manner that automates the purchasing process, or may be used to rank a participant's choices according to the participant's preferences. The trading network is preferably integrated with the participants' internal systems.

WO 01/63526 A1

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CREATING AND USING A PEER-TO-PEER TRADING NETWORK

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to a computer-based method and system for trading goods and services. In particular, the system and method of the present invention provides an electronic platform that enables any participant in a trading network to trade with any other participant in the trading network according to its own individual trading rules.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10

Historic Perspective

Computerized trading is not a new phenomenon. Ever since the arrival of computers that could communicate across phone lines, starting around the mid 1960's, electronic forms of trading have been widely deployed.

15

Beginnings of the Exchange Model

Early developments included computerized airline reservations systems, followed by banking and later general users. Around 1970, a new type of electronic trading emerged, where no one party was the "host" of the system. These were the systems that permit the trading of securities between brokers located at their respective offices rather than on a stock exchange "floor". This is the "Exchange Model" of trading, and it has revolutionized the way securities and financial commodities are traded. The Exchange Model has been a resounding success, and is often credited with making possible the global securities trading systems we have today.

20

25

EDI and Value Added Networks

Around 1980, industrial companies came together under the auspices of the IT community's standards body, ANSI (American National Standards Institute) and defined another new form of electronic trading, called Electronic Data Interchange (EDI). The purpose of EDI was to break down certain technical barriers that made it difficult for manufacturers and their partners to perform the type of electronic trading that was becoming routine in the securities and financial services sector. Trading manufactured goods and services is considerably more complicated than trading securities. The most significant reasons for this are:

1. Manufactured goods are not undifferentiated commodities in the manner that one IBM share is a clone of any other. There are questions of quality, delivery promises and other differentiators.
2. The essence of a securities transaction is to get the very best deal for the buyer or seller every time a **transaction** takes place, while in the world of manufactured goods, it is normal for the **relationship** between two trading partners (say Michelin and Ford Motor) to be more important than the exact details of a particular transaction.
3. Securities transactions are heavily regulated and the rules of engagement are legally circumscribed. Thus, it is possible to have a central exchange which acts not just as a traffic cop but also a surveillance cop to ensure that all traders, big or small are treated in the same manner and have an equal opportunity to have their trade filled. In most industries, however, the norm is that enterprises wish to treat different trading partners in different ways.

EDI was developed to address these needs. EDI has two components, a standard format for different types of transactions, such as invoices, orders etc, so that all parties have a lingua franca to do business, and a Value Added Network (VAN). A VAN is a type of exchange that operates like a Post Office Box (PO Box) service. Traders send standard messages to a central "exchange" usually operated as an independent business, and the exchange "holds" the messages in numbered "mailboxes" until they are picked up by the addressees when they electronically "call in". EDI has been very successful as a means of transacting business between large businesses, but it has largely failed to attract any but the larger entities.

Electronic Commerce over the Internet

The wide availability of the Internet and the World Wide Web has given another impetus to the drive for universal electronic trading. Computer-using consumers are familiar with the many thousands of websites that permit shopping for and ordering of goods and services, and auction sites for the purchase and sale of just about anything. These types of sites are commonly referred to as "Business to Consumer" (B2C) sites because transactions mainly take place between retailers (sometimes called "eRetailers" or "etailers") and end users. A merchant is typically the "host" of the system and consumers access the site through browser software installed on their personal computers.

There are also a great many "Business to Business" (B2B) sites, where businesses may access a host's website and initiate transactions similar to B2C. Examples include Cisco's renowned website through which businesses order billions of dollars worth of communications equipment every year. B2B electronic trading (often called "eBusiness" or "eCommerce") is widely deployed and successful.

The Internet is a compelling electronic commerce platform for many reasons. Access to the Internet is ubiquitous. Even the simplest networked computer capable of running a browser provides a real-time window to the entire Internet. The transaction process – deciding what to buy/sell, actually buying or selling, and the trade settlement -can be done in a very efficient manner using the Internet. Information on the Internet is easily updated, and is instantaneously available to all interested parties. Buyers and sellers can share important information about each aspect of the transaction decision. As participation in electronic markets increases, so too do choices and opportunities to consummate transactions in a manner that more efficiently meets the needs of buyers, sellers, and market makers. The more consumers and businesses that are connected to the Internet, the greater its value: the so-called "network effect".

Early E-commerce on the Internet

When business first moved to the Internet, and the term *e-commerce* was coined, companies simply replicated traditional business practices on the Internet. For instance, many of the existing online markets use a “catalog” model of commerce, which basically makes hardcopy catalogs available to buyers electronically via the Internet. These first electronic catalogs allowed buyers to obtain information about which products were offered (but not their in-stock status), and to order products online. This model is able to take advantage of the Internet’s global reach and around-the-clock availability to deliver customer convenience, but the actual business model remains rooted in existing practice.

Offering products online in a static catalog format is, nevertheless, a substantial improvement over older means such as telephones, faxes etc. It is a comfortable way for early electronic market participants to leverage some of the benefits of Internet commerce. Sellers can post price lists, catalogs, and sales brochures already in use. Buyers can peruse information from anywhere and make a purchase at any time.

However, many catalog markets are single-source; i.e., they only allow customers to obtain information and products from one seller. Some electronic catalogs have been updated to include information about competing products; however, many of these systems are biased towards the seller offering the electronic catalog or market.

In addition, the static prices and lack of availability information of catalog markets are a disadvantage. Market conditions are constantly changing, and access to the Internet can provide virtually instantaneous knowledge about market demand. Static catalog markets do not take advantage of real-time market or supply information. Therefore, more dynamic models of e-commerce, such as online auctions and exchanges, were introduced. Through online auctions

and exchanges, buyers and sellers are given the ability to buy and sell goods and services over the Internet in an environment where price and availability change with supply and demand.

Auctions on the Internet

5 Auctions by their nature do not provide symmetry between participants in e-commerce transactions. Most buyer-bidding auctions have one seller, and most reverse auctions have one buyer. Buyer-bidding auctions are designed to benefit the seller by obtaining the highest possible price of a product. Likewise, reverse auctions are buyer-centric, and are designed to benefit the buyer by obtaining the lowest possible price. Reverse auctions, powered by the
10 software and service offerings of industry leaders such as Commerce One, ARIBA, and FreeMarkets, are by far the most common form of B2B auction and represent almost all the dollar volume of auction business. This is generally because manufacturers are unwilling to offer their finished goods, particularly quality branded offerings, on an open auction site. On such sites, price becomes the lowest common denominator and the manufacturers are unable to
15 earn any premium for brand, quality, superior service or the many other important attributes that separate the leading enterprises from their low cost imitators.

The Exchange Model on the Internet

The Exchange Model, based on a central facility into which all transactions are funneled
20 and executed, has been widely implemented as a way to do e-commerce over the Internet in the form of electronic exchanges (sometimes called "eMarketplaces") for many industries. Electronic exchanges have been created for a wide array of industries. Hundreds of millions of dollars have been invested in these businesses, (often called "Dotcoms") that designed Websites to act for an industry (like Metals) or series of industries (like Automotive) in a manner similar
25 to the service provided by the original exchanges, the securities businesses. Unlike the other

models described in this section, these Dotcoms or eMarketplaces have failed to attract the traffic that they had been expecting and many of the businesses are failing.

Problems with the Exchange Model on the Internet

5 An electronic B2B exchange allows multiple buyers and multiple sellers to simultaneously conduct trading within a single marketplace. An electronic exchange is therefore less buyer-centric or seller-centric than an online auction, although many electronic exchanges are run by interested parties instead of disinterested third-party market makers. For example, the large automakers, GM, Ford, and Daimler/Chrysler, have created a marketplace called Covisnt
10 for buying from their suppliers.

Participants in the simplest and most common kind of B2B exchange interact with the exchange site directly via an Internet browser. The exchange site is responsible for matching buyers' bids and sellers' offerings, according to criteria set by the exchange. Thus, exchanges are centralized and controlled by a single organization and a fixed set of rules of engagement.
15 Moreover, in many cases, the organization running the exchange is not neutral to the outcome of the exchange's transactions, raising questions of fairness and confidentiality.

Browser access often makes it difficult for companies participating in an exchange to transfer information between the exchange and their internal systems. Frequently, the information has to be rekeyed, either in the exchange browser interface, or in the company's
20 internal system. Because trading is not fully integrated in the participant's business, transactions are much less efficient and cost-effective, and errors are more likely to occur.

Current exchanges operate to match a buyer and seller based upon the parameters of a particular transaction and treats all traders in the same fashion. For a regulated exchange such as a securities exchange, this is not only desirable: it is mandatory. All buyers and sellers have the
25 same status vis-à-vis the exchange and all others. Every item traded (say a share of IBM stock)

is considered a commodity and is exactly the same as any other such item (another share of IBM). Consider the difference between this type of exchange and a market for fresh fish, where the actual individual item (one Cape Cod clam, for example) might be very different from another individual with the same description (one Cape Cod clam).

5 Leaving aside the exchanges dealing with regulated commodities such as securities and basic raw materials, it is not typical to find industrial trading practices that operate to this commodity-type model. More typically, manufacturers, intermediaries and users develop relationships in the form of formal contracts for, say, the purchase and sale of items at agreed terms for an agreed period of time. Even where no formal arrangement exists, it is normal that
10 enterprises have customers and suppliers with whom they have established continuing relationships. Thus, an industrial enterprise is likely to have opinions and preferences for partners and products, and commitments that limit the range of its search when seeking to buy or sell. Even between its established cadre of partners, an enterprise is likely to have preferences, perhaps varying depending on particular circumstances such as time of year, balance of account,
15 volume of business done and others.

Furthermore, an enterprise will typically wish to keep its preferences confidential, and even to its most favored trading partners or the convener of an exchange. In short, modern traders want to differentiate between partners, products, promises and services and to do so based upon the options available at the moment of choice. They want to hold these preferences
20 in complete privacy, and to be empowered to change them under a veil of privacy at any time.

Some of the business requirements not met by most current exchanges have been addressed to some extent within the exchange model. Some exchanges provide ways for partners to submit or receive orders electronically from or to their ERP systems, although the exchanges are not integrated with the ERP systems. Many exchanges have strong

confidentiality policies. A few exchanges attempt to provide ways for participants to differentiate their response according to participant. Nevertheless, the exchange model does not provide a means to address all these issues in a manner that is attractive to potential participants. This is one of the major causes of the collapse of so many of these Dotcom entities. Despite
5 investments, sometimes running into hundreds of millions of dollars, exchanges have clearly failed to attract anything but the most anaemic levels of traffic. What has been working for securities exchanges for thirty years was assumed to be the model for other goods and services. The failure of most B2B exchanges shows the folly of that assumption.

Requirements for B2B Electronic Trading

10 The traditional exchange model, so successful in the securities industry, is the wrong architecture to address today's needs for electronic trading. It is a classic case of a technology looking for a problem. The needs that must be addressed are as follows:

- 15 1. Companies need a means of conducting trade with business partners in a manner that is completely automatic and immediate. The connections between independent partners need to be as smooth as they are between different branches of the same company, so that, for example, if something is not available at one location it can be automatically and seamlessly found at another.
- 20 2. All aspects of each trading partners business systems need to participate in this smoothness of connectivity, so that there is never a need to manually rekey data from one system to another.
- 25 3. This smooth connectivity needs to be deployed while still maintaining the individual autonomy, independence and confidentiality of each participant's internal records such as inventory levels, differential pricing, different preferences between trading partners and more.

In view of the foregoing, it can be appreciated that a substantial need exists for a trading network that provides computer-to-computer connectivity, peer-to-peer trading, and the ability to apply intelligent business rules in the trading network. The idea is that the hundreds, or even
30 thousands of participants in a channel can feel connected to the inventory of every other participant, while at the same time no participant feels exposed to the prying eyes of competitors, and all can react immediately to opportunities.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The trading network of the present invention improves buyer and seller satisfaction:

buyers can choose an option from a range of alternatives based upon a number of preferences they may have including but not limited to, seller, brand and the best price; sellers can choose to offer an option based upon their preferences which can include a series of criteria including but not limited to, buyer, optimal inventory levels and the best margins. The trading network of the present invention uses computer-to-computer connectivity for real-time execution of orders. It allows for peer-to-peer trading, and lets participants establish individual trading rules. It allows a participating buyer to respond to offerings made anywhere on the network, and assists the buyer in examining potential product offerings and evaluating terms offered, all automatically, tempered to the exact preferences of this buyer at this time.

The method and system of the present invention puts e-commerce inside core business systems. A participant in the trading network of the present invention can search for inventory among other trading partners - from the same system that it searches its own inventory. Using the inventive system, a participant no longer must exit its POS application, or log on to a browser-based website to find the information or products it needs. As a result, there is no need to keep switching backwards and forwards between different systems such as POS, Inventory, Browsing, and Trading. The whole job is handled, in the background by the computer from a single entry of the operator. Computer-to-computer connectivity also means that participants are connected to real-time inventory information, thereby ensuring that the information is up-to-date. This allows a seller's promise of delivery to be accurate and reliable and allows buyers to confidently make promises to their customers based on the inherent reliability of the connected network. It is similar to the confidence travel agents place in a networked airline reservation

system; they are empowered to enter into firm sales agreements for inventory (seats) that are not under their ownership or control.

The trading network of the present invention enables retailers, distributors and manufacturers to trade with each other on a peer-to-peer basis. That means that each participant, small and large, powerful and weak, has the same access and visibility to every other participant. Distributors can buy from or sell to other distributors. Anyone on the network can trade directly with anyone else. This type of peer-to-peer trading allows ecommerce conducted using the inventive system to enjoy the full benefit of the network effect.

In the trading network of the present invention, buyers and sellers retain control and freedom to make their own strategic and operating decisions regarding the way they interact with other participants. They are also able to keep their rules and strategies secret from other network participants. Participants are not forced to succumb to an exchange's or a manufacturer's decision rules. Each participant establishes its own trading rules, (e.g. "I will never sell to/buy from X", "I'll sell to Y, but at a 20% markup", "I'll sell to Z at a 3% markup"). These individual trading rules protect autonomy and privacy.

One benefit of the trading network of the present invention is that businesses can compete on non-price variables, such as brand, product, service, functionality, delivery, and most importantly, relationship or contractual obligation.

Another benefit of the trading network of the present invention is that each participant's fulfillment capability is expanded because the power of the entire channel is leveraged. Businesses can fulfill more orders without increasing inventory levels.

Yet another benefit of the trading network of the present invention is that network participants maintain control over internal information.

One embodiment of the invention comprises a method of fulfilling a request on a trading network comprised of a plurality of trading partners, comprising the steps of sending a request to at least one trading partner, whereby the request is sent only to trading partners chosen by a trading rule; receiving at least one response to the request from the at least one trading partner;
5 ranking the at least one responses according to an evaluation rule; and accepting one of the at least one responses.

Another embodiment of the invention comprises a method for a node in a trading network to respond to a request for a specified quantity of specified goods, comprising the steps of: receiving a request; determining whether to respond to the request according to a trading
10 rule; generating a response according to said determination, wherein said response includes at least one node preference; and responding to the request with the generated response.

Yet another embodiment of the present invention comprises a method for a requesting node to determine which of a plurality of offers to accept, comprising the steps of receiving a plurality of offers; ranking said offers using an evaluation rule; and determining whether to
15 accept an offer.

Yet another embodiment of the present invention provides for a trading network that comprised a plurality of nodes, wherein at least one node is a different type of entity than at least one other node; wherein any node participating in the trading network can trade with any other node in the trading network; wherein each node has a set of private, individual trading rules that
20 govern that node's trading behavior; and wherein a first node may send a trading request to at least one second node according to the first node's trading rules, and the at least one second node determines whether to respond to the trading request according to each of the at least one second node's trading rules.

Yet another embodiment of the present invention provides a method for a node in a trading network to make a request to at least one other node on the trading network, comprising the steps of: calculating a score for each of a plurality of trading nodes on the trading network using at least one rule established by the requesting node; for each of the plurality of trading nodes, determining if the calculated score meets a minimum threshold; and sending a request from a requesting node to any trading nodes that have a minimum score; wherein the trading network makes the determination and automatically sends the requests to the trading nodes with a minimum score.

With these and other advantages and features of the invention that will become hereinafter apparent, the nature of the invention may be more clearly understood by reference to the following detailed description of the invention, to the appended claims and to the several drawings attached herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are included to provide a further understanding of the invention and are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification, illustrate embodiments of the invention and together with the description serve to explain the principles of the invention.

Fig. 1 illustrates one embodiment of the trading network of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a block diagram illustrating the components of a central repository used in the trading network of the present invention;

Fig. 3 is a block diagram illustrating an architecture for one embodiment of a node in the trading network of the present invention;

Figs. 4A-4D are block diagrams illustrating some of the internode messaging used by the trading network of the present invention to process a transaction;

Fig. 5 is a screen display illustrating an example of offers to sell ranked by the trading network of the present invention;

5 Figs. 6A-6C are block flow diagrams illustrating an example of a purchase request transaction using the trading rules of the trading network of the present invention;

Figs. 7A-C are block flow diagrams illustrating an example of a purchase request transaction using the trading rules of the trading network of the present invention;

10 Figs. 8A-B are block flow diagrams illustrating an example of a purchase request transaction using the trading rules of the trading network of the present invention;

Figs. 9A-B illustrate examples of some of the types of messages used by the trading network of the present invention; and

15 Fig. 10 is a block flow diagram illustrating an example of a transaction that uses internode and intranode messaging by the trading network of the present invention to process the transaction.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Reference will now be made in detail to the embodiments of the invention, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. Wherever possible, the same reference
20 numbers will be used throughout the drawings to refer to the same or like components.

It is worthy to note that any reference in the specification to "one embodiment" or "an embodiment" means that a particular feature, structure or characteristic described in connection with the embodiment is included in at least one embodiment of the invention. The appearances

of the phrase “in one embodiment” in various places in the specification are not necessarily all referring to the same embodiment.

The embodiments of the invention provide for a trading network that permits commercial activity to be conducted electronically between autonomous independent businesses that electronically collaborate with one another to provide goods and services to their customers. Members of this network may be manufacturers, distributors and retailers, for example. In a preferred embodiment, the network is comprised of a variety of types of businesses, and is not dominated by any one type of organization.

In traditional exchange-based trading, a central node typically controls distribution and market making. In this type of trading, a participant is required to expose information to others through the central node. However, in the trading network of the present invention, no one single member controls other members or the network, and each participant controls its own internal information. Preferably, no member has any knowledge of any other member’s preferences, rules or criteria. The member can observe another member’s response or request but cannot infer from them anything about the other member’s rules of engagement. This is an important point of differentiation between the present invention and the exchange model used by most Dotcoms.

Decisions in the trading network of the present invention – such as choosing potential buyers or suppliers, responding to offers to buy or sell, prioritizing options - are generally governed by each participant's personal and private trading rules. With these rules, potential sellers may customize pricing based on the potential buyer, for example. The trading rules ensure a personalized sourcing solution; the inventive system enables participants to buy from and sell to others electronically in whatever sequence, with whatever priority and on whatever terms they decide.

Participants in the trading network of the present invention preferably have the ability to both buy and sell, although they may choose to deploy rules that effectively make them a buyer-only or a seller-only. Typically, a participant initiates a purchase using the inventive system by sending a purchase request to other participants in the network. These recipient participants may then respond to the purchase request with offers to sell. In an alternative embodiment, a participant may initiate a sale using the inventive system by sending a sale request to other participants. Participants may respond with offers to buy.

The trading network of the present invention referably supports both purchase requests and sale requests, although individual participants may choose to use only one. In addition, the trading network may support other types of requests and transactions, such as unsolicited offers to sell, inventory inquiries, invoicing (for orders placed outside the trading network, for example), advanced shipping notices and firm orders, for example. As will be obvious to one skilled in the art, there are many different types of transactions that may be supported by the trading network of the present invention.

System Architecture

One embodiment of the trading network of the present invention is shown in Fig. 1. As shown, trading network 100 is essentially a collection of nodes 10, 20, 30, etc, each node representing an independent business entity. A node may be a retailer, a distributor, or a manufacturer, for example. The nodes are preferably connected with each other over the Internet 15, although any type of computer network, such as a private Intranet, may be used. In the case of an Internet connection the participants, in effect, are all connected to each other on a one-to-one basis, although the only physical connection required for any individual participant is a single connection to the Internet itself. The Internet is a peer-to-peer network and it

automatically “finds” appropriate choices for a participant based upon the information stored by the Intelligent Trading Module.

The embodiment shown in Fig. 1 illustrates a central repository connected to the trading network. Central repository 200 does not typically participate directly in trading activities, rather, it supports network activities and maintains information about each of the nodes. Trading activities are conducted on a peer-to-peer basis between the nodes in the network, and nodes obtain information from the central repository when needed. Thus, business transacted between two partners is not known to any node (or the central repository) except the two individual partners themselves.

In an alternative embodiment, the trading network does not include a central repository. In this case, information external to each node can be distributed to the nodes using other methods, such as on a CD. Other architectures and storage and distribution methods will be obvious to those skilled in the art and are intended to come within the scope of the present invention.

Fig. 2 illustrates one embodiment of an architecture for a central repository used by the inventive system. Central repository 200 may be used to maintain a variety of information. It typically stores administrative information 210, such as communication and contact information for each of the trading nodes in the network. Since communication within the inventive trading network is typically via the Internet, contact information may include Internet Uniform Resource Locators (URLs). The central repository may also store a centralized listing of standard or industry information 220 that is used by the nodes when trading. For example, it may store standard manufacturer part numbers. This type of information may be used for validation of part numbers used in requests or offers from trading partners, for example, or for allowing dealers to determine potential substitutions for items they do not carry.

The Central repository may also provide a place to accumulate measurable performance data 230. For example, the Central repository may collect information on delivery performance by nodes. The Central Repository could then provide performance data back to participants, as a value-added service. For example, if the Central Repository accumulated and stored delivery performance data, a network participant that required exceptional delivery from its suppliers could then obtain up-to-date, accurate information regarding how well potential suppliers have met delivery deadlines in the past from the Central Repository. A participant may choose not to share performance data with the repository, in which case a potential partner who has a preference for such data may reduce that participant's score in a trading rule from what it might otherwise have been. In this manner participants can trade in accordance with their secretly held preferences but others who choose not to show how they match up on one or more criterion can still participate but are penalized in the eyes of the particular other potential partner.

In one embodiment, the performance data may be provided as a general rating. For example, a participant's delivery performance data for all transactions conducted through the system of the present invention could be evaluated and scored into an overall rating.

Alternatively, performance data may be provided on a more individualized basis by evaluating only the performance between two specific participants. For example, a retailer may be interested in how well a particular distributor has met that retailer's delivery deadlines. In this case, only the distributor's delivery performance in connection with that retailer is considered when rating the delivery performance of the distributor.

Although each node typically has its own individual trading rules stored locally, the Central repository may also store standard settings for certain rule parameters 240, subject to the qualification that a participant may choose not to share any information with the repository but still retain a position of good standing on the network. Typically, these standard rule parameter

settings are intended to be used by a particular group. For example, a manufacturer may stipulate rule parameters that cause its own brands to be ranked more favorably than others in deciding which items to sell or which to buy. The manufacturer then might offer incentives to get its dealers to use its rule specifications. Buying groups or large wholesalers may have

5 standard rule parameters for use by group members or associated retailers.

When a centrally maintained rule specification is updated, a node that uses the standard rule specification may have a setting that automatically accepts updates, or a node may get an update and then be able to decide whether to accept the change.

One architecture for a trading node 300 is shown in Fig. 3. As shown in this

10 embodiment, a node may have three components: an ERP/POS system 310, an Intelligent Trading Module 320 and a Message Broker 330. The Intelligent Trading Module and the Message Broker are software components specific to the trading network of the present invention, while the ERP/POS system is typically a legacy system at the node, and is integrated into the trading network of the present invention.

15 The ERP/POS system 310 is an integrated enterprise system that provides ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) and/or POS (Point of Sale) functionality to a business. The ERP/POS system 310 typically has accounting, inventory, pricing and warehouse management capabilities. In one embodiment, to integrate an existing ERP system with the present invention, the ERP system is extended to exchange messages with the Intelligent Trading Module.

20 Typically, these messages include requests for available quantities and prices of particular inventory items and responses to the requests, acceptance of orders, confirmations, and requests to initiate trading activity and acceptance of the results of those requests.

Intelligent Trading Module 320 is a software component that makes trading decisions for the node using the trading rules. For example, the Intelligent Trading Module may decide with

which nodes it will trade, whether it will make an offer to sell in response to a request for purchase, how many items to buy or sell, and under what conditions items will be bought or sold. The Intelligent Trading Module may make these decisions automatically, or it may prioritize options for displaying to an end-user to make a final trading decision.

5 In one embodiment, the Intelligent Trading Module does not perform any processing or store any data that is a normal function of an ERP/POS system, such as processing or data related to product availability, pricing, tax and shipping charges. Rather, the Intelligent Trading Module determines pricing, inventory quantity-on-hand, tax and shipping cost information as needed by communicating with the ERP/POS system. Likewise, the Intelligent Trading Module
10 may transmit Purchase Orders and other orders to the ERP/POS system. The Intelligent Trading Module receives requests from the ERP/POS system to search for goods on the trading network of the present invention, communicates the results of those searches back to the ERP/POS system, and receives ERP/POS requests to accept particular offers to sell.

Intelligent Trading Module 320 and ERP/POS system 310 may communicate with each
15 other via messages that are routed through Message Broker 330. The message broker 330 may be a separate software component, or message broker functionality may be integrated into the Intelligent Trading Module and/or the ERP/POS system. Communication between the Intelligent Trading Module 320 and other nodes in the trading network is typically handled by the message broker. The Intelligent Trading Module 320 also typically communicates with the
20 Central Repository through the message broker.

In one embodiment, Message Broker 320 is a separate software module that facilitates information traveling between two or more resources (e.g. different partners or software components within a single node). Use of the Message Broker 320 allows the communicating resources to operate independently and differently (e.g. under different operating systems). The

message broker may transform data from one form to another between the resources. In one embodiment, commercially available message broker software may be used as the message broker component in the present invention. One example is Microsoft BizTalk Server.

Alternatively, custom message broker software may be developed for use with the system of the present invention. The message broker component of the present invention is intended to cover any method of transmitting messages from one trading partner to another or between software modules within a single node.

Message Processing

Communication between nodes in the trading network of the present invention is accomplished by sending messages between nodes. These messages are preferably private, and may be encrypted. The messages may be encrypted through use of Public Key Infrastructure methods. In one embodiment, internode messages travel over the open Internet using standard http protocol. Alternatively, the messages may travel over a private network. Typically, a node receiving a message from another node is able to identify the sending node.

In one embodiment, communications are in the form of XML messages. XML (Extensible Markup Language) is a meta-language for defining structured information. It is used to define the structure and specify the content of messages sent between nodes and between modules within a node. Other formats are known to those skilled in the art may be used instead, and are intended to come within the scope of the present invention.

The XML messages may include many different types of objects. For example, a dealer object may correspond to a business entity on the trading network. A business with multiple selling or stocking locations may be represented by a single dealer object. Dealer attributes are typically stored in the Central Repository. These attributes may include a dealer ID and a dealer name.

Another example of a type of object that may be used in the messages is a part number description. Attributes may include manufacturer name and a unique part number. This object will also typically include different attributes for different types of commodities.

Other object types will be obvious to those skilled in the art and are intended to come
5 within the scope of the present invention.

Many different types of messages may be transmitted between nodes in the trading network of the present invention. For example, a Purchase Request message may be sent by a prospective buyer to one or more potential sellers to request a specific item. In one embodiment, the purchase request merely asks if the seller is willing to sell items as specified.
10 Alternatively, the purchase request may be a firm offer to buy.

The fields included in a purchase request of the present invention may include a reference number, sent time, buyer, seller, ship-to address, quantity, part number description, generic product description, required delivery date, and whether partial fulfillment of the order is acceptable, for example. Other fields that may be used in a purchase request will be obvious to
15 one skilled in the art and are intended to come within the scope of the present invention.

Other types of internode messages may include offers to sell (unsolicited, or in response to a purchase request), offer acceptances (in response to an offer to sell or an offer to buy, typically representing a commitment to purchase according to the specification of that offer), acceptance responses (typically sent in response to an offer acceptance confirming or
20 disconfirming the sender's commitment), purchase orders (typically an unsolicited commitment to purchase according to delivery terms and prices previously established), purchase order responses (confirming or disconfirming the purchase order), invoices (typically sent by a seller to a buyer subsequent to an acceptance confirmation or purchase order response; typically represents a request for payment), and advanced shipping notices (typically sent by a seller to a

buyer subsequent to an acceptance confirmation or purchase order response giving notice that the order is being shipped).

Fig. 9A illustrates several different types of internode messages that may be used by the trading network of the present invention, and fields that these messages may include. Other types of messages and fields will be known to those skilled in the art and are intended to come within the scope of the present invention.

Figs. 4A-4D illustrate some of the messages that may be sent between nodes in a typical purchase transaction scenario using the trading network of the present invention. This example illustrates the process for fulfilling a purchase request, however, as will be obvious to one skilled in the art, similar processes can be used for other types of transactions.

In this example, Node A may be a retailer desiring to purchase a specified set of goods, and Nodes B, C, D and E may be distributors. As shown in Fig. 4A, Node A may send a purchase request 70, 71, 72 to Nodes B, C and D inquiring if they are willing to sell a specified set of goods. As shown in Fig. 4B, Nodes B and C may respond to Node A with non-binding offers to sell 80, 81 that are responsive to the purchase requests 70, 71. An offer to sell typically includes the full price of the goods offered, including tax and shipping charges, and typically includes specific part numbers. As shown in Fig. 4C, after evaluating the offers to sell, Node A may respond to Node C with an acceptance 90 of the offer to sell 81 that commits Node A to buy according to the price specified in offer 81. Then, as shown in Fig. 4D, Node C may send a confirmation of an acceptance of the offer to sell 95 back to Node A. This confirmation commits Node C and indicates initiation of the process of fulfillment. Node C may also send an invoice and an advance shipment notice to Node A.

The present invention may also support intranode messaging, typically between a node's ERP system and the Intelligent Trading Module of the present invention. For example, the

Intelligent Trading Module may send an inventory inquiry message to the ERP system. An inventory inquiry message allows the Intelligent Trading Module to determine inventory status of a particular inventory item or the status of all inventory items that meet a generic description. The inventory inquiry message may include identification, sent time, part number and generic product description on fields, for example. Fig. 9B illustrates some several types of intranode messages that may be used by the trading network of the present invention, and fields they may include. Other types of intranode messages and fields are possible, and are intended to come within the scope of the present invention.

In addition to internode and intranode messaging, the trading network of the present invention may support messages between nodes and the central repository. These messages may be used to maintain network information and allow new nodes to join the network, for example.

Node-repository messages may include message for a node update, node removal or a node list request. Other types of node-repository messages will be obvious to one skilled in the art and are intended to come within the scope of the present invention.

Fig. 10 illustrates an example transaction that illustrates use intranode messaging as well as internode messaging to process a transaction. In this example, the purchase request transaction is initiated by a user at Node A using Node A's ERP system. In an alternative embodiment, purchase requests may be automatically generated by the system according to a trading rule.

As shown in Fig. 10, before the Intelligent Trading Module 1002 on Node A sends a purchase request to participants in the trading network, a user at Node A may use the ERP system 1001 to first cause a purchase inquiry to be sent to the Intelligent Trading Module 1002. This is shown by purchase need 1010 in Fig. 10. The Intelligent Trading Module 1002 on Node A then uses the node's trading rules to determine to which network participants to send a

purchase request, and sends a Purchase request to those nodes, as shown by Purchase request 1020 in Fig. 10.

Once a trading partner (Node B) receives purchase request 1020, its Intelligent Trading Module 1005 may send an Inventory/Price Inquiry message 1030 to its local ERP system 1009.

5 This message is used to determine available inventory and current pricing of the specified products. The local ERP system 1009 may respond with an Inventory/Price Response message 1035 that specifies price and availability of relevant inventory items. By connecting the Intelligent Trading Module with the ERP system, current information regarding constantly changing inventory and pricing can be obtained.

10 Node B's Intelligent Trading Module 1005 determines which, if any, of the inventory items to offer in an Offer to Sell message 1040 back to Node A.

After Node A receives one or more Offers to Sell, its Intelligent Trading Module 1002 then evaluates the offers and may pass the offers on to Node A's ERP system 1001 in ranked order through a Purchase Options message 1050 for selection by the user who first initiated the trading sequence. The selection made by the user is then passed back to the Intelligent Trading
15 Module through a Purchase Selection message 1055. In this example, the user is making the selection, however, as described earlier, selections may be made automatically by the system.

The Intelligent Trading Module 1002 then generates an Offer Acceptance message 1060 to the corresponding trading partner of the selected offer. In the example shown in Fig. 10,
20 Node B made the Offer that was selected by the user.

Once Node B receives the Offer Acceptance message 1060, its Intelligent Trading Module may check to see if inventory is still available by sending an Inventory Inquiry message 1070 to its ERP 1009, and then receiving a corresponding Inventory Response 1075 from the ERP 1009. If inventory is still sufficient, the Intelligent Trading Module 1005 may generate an

order by sending an Order Request 1080 to its ERP system 1009. The ERP system 1009 may then send back a Order Response message 1085, and the Intelligent Trading Module 1009 sends the Acceptance Response 1090 to Node A to confirm (or disconfirm) the order.

Once Node A receives the Acceptance Response, its Intelligent Trading Module may
5 send a Purchase Response 1095 to its ERP 1001 confirming the purchase to the user who initiated the transaction.

Trading Rules

Every participant in the trading network of the present invention has an individual set of
10 trading rules. These rules typically control trading decisions. There are generally two categories of trading rules per node – Buy-Side trading rules which control the purchasing behavior of a node, and Sell-Side trading rules that control the selling behavior of a node.

Buy-Side trading rules define the preferences of a node in a purchasing situation. Buy-Side rules may address preferences for buying a particular brand(s), buying from a particular
15 dealer(s), buying from a particular class of dealers, buying from a geographically proximate dealer, price thresholds, delivery time thresholds, and whether an entire purchase should be made from a single dealer, for example.

One retailer in the trading network of the present invention may prefer to purchase goods from certain distributors. This retailer can establish a preferred trading partner rule that
20 identifies the preferred distributors. As another example, a retailer may prefer to purchase from the geographically closest distributor or manufacturer, and may establish a trading rule such that distributors and manufacturers within a 10-mile radius of the retailer are considered “preferred.”

Buy-Side trading rules may be further divided into *control* rules and *evaluation* rules. Control rules determine which nodes with which to trade, and evaluation rules determine which

offers to display to the user, or alternatively, which offer to automatically accept. A rule may be both a control rule and an evaluation rule.

Sell-side trading rules define the preferences of a seller (typically a distributor) in a purchasing situation. Sell-side rules may address preferences for selling a particular brand(s),
5 not selling to particular dealers, selling (or not selling) to a class of dealers, pricing (typically different for partner nodes than for competitor nodes), inventory minimum thresholds, and acceptable payment and credit records of trading partners, for example.

As an example, a manufacturer may prefer to sell to certain competitor distributors only under the condition of a significant product mark-up. The manufacturer may establish a trading
10 rule that marks up the price to these distributors.

Similar types of rules may be established for other types of transactions. Although the description herein focuses on fulfilling purchase requests using the trading network of the present invention, the scope of the invention is intended to cover any type of transaction that may be conducted using the trading network of the present invention.

15 As discussed above, the decisions made by the trading network of the present invention may be based on various criteria, including partner preference, brand preference, geographical distance, etc. Furthermore, each participant may base decisions on different criteria. For example, participants may establish specific trading rules for specific trading partners. The rules are very flexible and customizable.

20 The trading rules are very powerful. By shaping who does business with whom, and on what terms, these rules can transform business relationships and alter market share. Formulating these rules provides a real competitive advantage to a dealer or distributor trying to buy or sell more intelligently or to a manufacturer or distributor who wishes to influence downstream business partners.

Typically, the trading network of the present invention ensures that these rules are not made known to other participants in the trading network of the present invention. By encapsulating rules, the rules are only accessible to the node for which they are defined. One node cannot view the rules of another node, in a preferred embodiment, unless the other node
5 chooses to share the rules. Therefore, participants in the network expose only a minimum amount of information to other members of the network.

The trading rules may be set up when participants join the trading network of the present invention and the rules can be updated at any time. In addition, the trading network of the present invention may also “learn” certain rules for a node. That is, the trading network may use
10 a history of transactions to determine preferences for a node. For example, if a node buys a certain amount from a particular supplier, the supplier may be categorized by the trading network as a “preferred trading partner” for that node.

One common use of both Buy-Side rules and Sell-Side rules is to identify preferred trading partners. There may be several different types of preferred trading partners. That is, a
15 trading partner that is within a 10-mile radius may be “preferred”; a partner that primarily sells a particular brand may also be “preferred.” A trading rule may indicate that the geographical distance preference is more important than the brand preference, and therefore the trading network will rank a response from a geographically preferred partner higher than a response from a brand preferred partner, all other factors being equal. Additionally, a node may be
20 categorized as “Non-preferred.” Such a categorization may, for example, allow a node to completely prohibit sales to any Non-preferred trading partners.

As mentioned above, another common trading rule is a proximity rule. In a proximity rule, a partner that is within a certain geographical distance may be preferred. In order for a node to know which Partners are within certain distance, the central repository preferably keeps

a predefined table containing this information. When applying the proximity rule, the Intelligent Trading Module will then use the information stored at the central repository to determine proximity in real-time. Alternatively, the node may perform this calculation or store the information. In another alternative embodiment, nodes may be classified as "local dealers", if
5 they have locations within certain enumerated regions, such as a list of counties.

Another common trading rule concerns the price markup. It is common for one business to establish a set markup for sales to another business that is a certain percentage above a universally established price. For instance, when one node decides to sell to a particular retailer at a 20% markup, the markup is preferably applied to the node's price found in its ERP or POS
10 system. (Price is typically the responsibility of a node's ERP or POS system).

Nodes in the trading network of the present invention typically have many trading rules. Applying each rule individually may result in different offers to sell (or buy) being preferred by a node. The trading network of the present invention may handle such conflicts in a number of different ways. For example, each rule may be given a priority, and conflicts are handled by
15 yielding to the highest priority rule. Alternatively, each rule may be given a weight, and the responses to inquiries are weighed according to the sum of the weight of the rules. Priorities and/or weights may be set by users when rules are defined. Alternatively, priorities and weights may be learned by the trading network (i.e. the Intelligent Trading Module), through observation of the node's purchasing behavior.

20 In one embodiment, a scoring methodology may be used. For example, a node may prefer dealers that are close by, and prefer not to deal with trading partners that are more than 20 miles away at all. In this case, the node may establish a rule that gives trading partner that is within 1 mile is given a score of 100, a partner that is within 10 miles given a score of 75, a partner within 15 miles a score of 50, and partners that are between 15 and 20 miles from the

requesting node are given a score of 0. In addition, if the node is more than 20 miles away, the node establishes a rule to not trade with the partner at all. In addition, the node prefers brand M-centric trading partners. If a trading partner is a brand M-centric trading partner, it gets a score of 100, if it is not, it gets a score of 0. Finally, the node prefers lower priced offers, and has

5 established a rule that scores the absolute value of values on a scale of 0 – 100.

In addition to the scores, the node has established weights for each of the rules. The proximity of a trading partner is very important to this node; therefore this rule has a weight of 10. Pricing is less important, and is given a weight of 5. Finally, the brand-centric rule is given a weight of 2.

10 Continuing the above example, consider a node that has received 4 offers from 4 different nodes. Node 1 is located within 4 miles, and is a brand M-centric partner. However, the price it is offering is not exceptionally good, and the scoring algorithm gives it a score of 40 on the 0-100 scale. Node 2 is located 16 miles from the requesting node, is a Brand M-centric partner, and has the best price of all offers received, and is therefore given a price score of 100.

15 Node 3 is located 22 miles from the requesting node, is not a brand M-centric partner, and is given a pricing score of 90. Node 4 is located 11 miles from the requesting node, is not a brand M-centric partner, and is given a price score of 60.

The weighted preference scores for these four nodes are shown below:

$$\text{Node 1: } (10 \times 75) + (2 \times 100) + (5 \times 40) = 1150$$

20 $\text{Node 2: } (10 \times 0) + (2 \times 100) + (5 \times 100) = 700$

Node 3: 0 (because the requesting node does not want to deal with nodes > 20 miles away)

$$\text{Node 4: } (10 \times 50) + (2 \times 0) + (5 \times 60) = 800$$

In this example, even though Node 1 has the least preferred price of these 4 offers, it is the preferred offer by the requesting node. This example demonstrates how non-price factors can influence trading decisions made with the trading network of the present invention.

As will be obvious to one skilled in the art, there are many different ways of scoring, and many different algorithms to calculate a weighted sum of rules, as well as additional methods of resolving rule conflicts. It is intended that these come within the scope of the present invention.

The trading network of the present invention will now further be described through the use of several examples, which follow. Although these examples illustrate many of the different rules that may be used, it will be apparent to one skilled in the art that there many other rules and combinations of rules that may be applied, and these are intended to come within the scope of the present invention.

Example 1

There are seven partners in the trading network example shown in Fig. 6A - Retailer X and Partners 1-6. As shown, Retailer X has established several widget Buy-Side rules 610, and each node has established their own widget Sell-Side rules 621, 622, 623, 624 and 625. It will be apparent to one skilled in the art that many additional rules could be established; the rules shown are intended to illustrate specific features of the trading network of the present invention.

The Buy-Side and Sell-side rules used in this example apply to widgets. The nodes may set up different rules for different commodities. They may even set up different rules for different brands of the same commodity.

In this example, a consumer goes to Retailer X seeking to purchase five widgets. Retailer X is connected to the trading network of the present invention.

Suppose Retailer X does not have any widgets in its own inventory. The customer representative at Retailer X may then initiate a "spot search" across the trading network of the

present invention for the 5 widgets. In this example, suppose also that Partners 1 and 4 are within a 10-mile radius of Retailer X.

As shown, Partners 1-5 are contacted through the trading network of the present invention with a purchase request 601. As shown in Retailer X's Buy-Side rules 610, Partners 2, 3 and 5 are "preferred partners", and Partners 1 and 4 fall within the 10-mile radius required by another of Retailer X's Buy-Side rules. As Partner 6 does not fall within either of these two rules, it is not a preferred trading partner and is therefore not contacted. The trading network of the present invention makes the decision as to which trading partners to contact automatically. The user at Retailer X using the trading network of the present invention does not have to make these decisions – he simply enters the inquiry into the system, the system does the rest.

As shown in Fig. 6B, Partner 4 does not respond to the request by Retailer X because it has established a Sell-Side rule 624 that restricts sales to Retailers X, Y and Z. Alternatively, Partner 4 could respond to the request, but decline to make an Offer to Sell in the response. Again, the response, or lack of response, is automatically generated by the trading network of the present invention. No human intervention or decision-making is required.

As shown by inventory 635, Partner 5 has only 8 widgets in stock. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 6B, Partner 5 does not respond (or responds in the negative) to Retailer X's request because it has a Sell-Side rule 625 that only allows sales if its widget inventory after the sale will be greater than 5. Since Partner 5 currently only has 8 widgets in its inventory 635, a sale of 5 widgets will deplete the inventory below its required minimum. Such inventory rules may be set up for each commodity that a node sells, or one rule may be set up to apply everything the nodes sells.

This determination is made automatically by the inventive system, and again no human intervention or decision-making is required. Although not shown, when making the

determination, Partner 5's Intelligent Trading Module inquires of Partner 5's ERP/POS system as to the current inventory.

Partners 2 and 3 each have a variety of widgets in their inventory from different manufacturers and both respond to the request with offers to sell 612 and 613. Both Partners 2 and 3 have Sell-Side rules 622, 623 that specify markups on sales to Retailer X, and the responses 612, 613 from Partners 2 and 3 include these markups.

Partner 1 sells only Brand M widgets, and marks up the price to Retailer X 5%. It responds 611 to the request with an offer to sell with the 5% markup.

Again, these responses are automatically generated by the system of the present invention with no human intervention or calculations required.

Since Retailer X prefers Brand M widgets, and Partner 1's widgets are available for a 5% markup, which is less than Retailer X's Buy-Side rule 610 "Prefer not to buy at > 10% markup", Partner 1's response 611 to Retailer X's purchase request 601 is determined to be the best matching response. Because of the large markup on the widgets from Partners 2 and 3, these responses are given lower precedence.

In this example, the responses from Partners 2 and 3 are given a lower precedence than Partner 1, even though Partners 2 and 3 are "preferred" nodes according to Retailer X's Buy-Side rules. The rules could alternatively be established to give the "preferred" status of a Partner greater weight in the comparison. If this were the case, the system may rank the responses differently.

The customer service representative at Retailer X tells the consumer that Brand M widgets are available, and the customer places an order for the widgets. As shown in Fig 6C, Retailer X sends an order 641 to Partner 1 for the five Brand M widgets. The ordering process

using the trading network of the present invention is automatic, and is discussed in more detail below.

Fig. 5 is an example screenshot illustrating the offers to sell in an actual implementation of the inventive system. This particular implementation is for a tire retailing system. It shows eight offers of tires 520 from three different trading partners 530. The system has ranked the offers as shown in the score column 540. The offers were generated in response to an initial purchase request for tires of a certain size.

Example 2

Consider a customer that requests 6 gizmos from Retailer Y. Since Retailer Y has only 2 gizmos in stock 740, a Retailer Y representative queries the trading network of the present invention for 4 additional gizmos. In this case, as shown in Fig. 7A, Retailer Y has a Buy-Side rule 710 that limits the number of responses that it will accept before displaying purchase options to the user. Partners 1-N are contacted by the trading network in the search for 4 gizmos.

Because Retailer Y has a Buy-Side rule 710 "Prefer Acme-Centric Partners", the request for 4 gizmos 711 is sent to all N members of the trading network who are classified as Acme-Centric.

Partners may be classified as "Acme-centric" (or any other classification) upon registration with the network, or may be automatically classified by the system. For example, all dealers that sell more than a certain percentage of a certain brand of gizmos may be classified as a Brand-centric partner.

As shown in Fig. 7B, in this example, Partner 1 responds first with an offer to sell 2 gizmos 751. Since Partner 1 only has 2 gizmos in stock (inventory 741), it cannot offer to fulfill the entire request.

Partner 2 then responds with an offer to sell 2 gizmos 752. Since Partner 2 has the Sell-Side rule 722 that requires that remaining inventory after a sale be at least 10, it can only offer to sell 2 of its 12 gizmos.

Partner 3 then replies with an offer to sell 4 gizmos 753, and marks up the price of the
5 gizmos 15% according to its Sell-Side rule 723.

A number of other partners, including Partner N, reply that they have sufficient stock on-hand to satisfy the request. However, as Retailer Y has a Buy-Side rule 710 limiting the number of responses to a request to three, and it has already received three responses, these later responses are queued. Fig. 7B illustrates the first three responses 751, 752, 753 received by
10 Retailer Y in this example.

Because of the potentially large number of nodes that may be contacted by the trading network, the ability to limit the number of responses to a request before displaying the responses to a user is important. It would simply be too slow to wait for all responses before displaying a response to a user. Furthermore, users do not want to be overwhelmed with too many options.
15 Such a rule balances speed of response with quality of solution.

Alternatively, responses could be limited by time instead of number of responses. For example, a rule could specify that the search can only proceed for 2 seconds. At the end of this period, the system makes decisions based on the responses that have been received. As another alternative, a disjunction between number of responses and time limit could be applied; e.g. stop
20 processing responses after 2 seconds or 3 responses, whichever comes first.

If Retailer Y did not have the Buy-Side rule "No Preference for a Single Partner", the system may have ignored the responses from Partners 1 and 2 since neither Partner 1 nor 2 can solely satisfy Retailer Y's request. Using this type of partial fulfillment rule, a requesting node may specify whether a request must be completely fulfilled or can be partially fulfilled by a

trading partner. Further, the requesting node may specify a minimum threshold of items that must be offered for partial fulfillment. For example, a business is highly unlikely to want to fulfill a request for 100 items with suborders from 100 different vendors.

The current responses from Partners 1, 2 and 3 are displayed to the user at the node
5 Retailer Y. In an alternative embodiment, the system could automatically choose the highest ranked response without displaying any of the responses to the user.

In this example, the user at Retailer Y informs the customer that the request can be filled by either 2 gizmos from each of Partners 1 and 2, or by the 4 gizmos from Partner 3, but at a markup. In this example, the customer chooses to order the gizmos from Partners 1 and 2.
10 Retailer Y sends messages 761, 762 to Partners 1 and 2 to place the order, as shown in Fig. 7C.

Example 3

Transient rules can override predefined, persistent rules. Transient rules are those that are defined for and applied to only a single transaction, or set of transactions.

In this example, a customer requests a gadget at a cut-rate price from Retailer Z. This
15 customer is a long-term, loyal customer, and has been patronizing Retailer Z for many years. Therefore, the staff at Retailer Z has a strong incentive to accommodate this customer.

As shown in Fig. 8A, Partners 1 and 2 are typically favored by Retailer Z, and the system has automatically classified them as "Preferred" partners 801, 802 of Retailer Z. Partner 3 has not been classified as a "Preferred" partner, but is within a 5-mile radius of Retailer Z, and has
20 good delivery record.

Under normal Retailer Z Buy-Side rules 810, purchasing requests are sent to Preferred partners, and partners within a 5-mile radius with good delivery records. In this example, the request 811 is sent to Partners 1, 2 and 3. As shown in Fig. 8B, Partner 1 has a satisfactory inventory 805 of gadgets, and responds with an offer to sell 831 at a 10% markup, per its Sell-

Side rules 821. Partner 2 replies with an offer to sell 832 at a 15% markup, per its Sell-Side rules 822. Partner 3 replies with an offer to sell 833 at cost.

Because of the transient rule 820, Partner 3's offer is ranked above offers from both Partners 1 and 2, although Partners 1 and 2 are favored by Retailer Z. The customer is thus
5 given the opportunity to order the gadget at cost.

Transient rules allow nodes to override predefined rules to handle special circumstances. In some markets, this may not be a desired feature, as it may affect profits. However, for many vertical markets, the emphasis may be on allowing the customer a full and open choice, including finding the lowest cost item, no matter who the supplier is.

10 Other types of rules not shown in these examples may also be used. For example, nodes may establish rules limiting trades to trading partners with good credit, payment or delivery records. Because the central repository stores this type of information, it can determine which are preferred partners. In addition, since the information is real-time, a node's payment record or delivery record can constantly change. The trading network of the present invention is able to
15 provide nodes with accurate, up-to-date information.

In addition, this type of information could be individualized. For example, a node may establish a rule for classifying a trading partner as "Preferred" if that trading partner has a good delivery record with the node. Alternatively, the trading partner could be classified as "Preferred" if it has an *overall* good delivery record, regardless of its past history with the node.

20 Another rule that may be used is a margin preference. Margin refers to the difference between the amount a node pays for an item, and the amount for which it sells the item to another party. For example. Dealer A buys a tire for \$30 and sells it for \$45. The margin is 50%. As the seller, the higher the margin, the better. A seller may set up a Sell-side trading rule that only allows sells above a certain margin.

Classifications are usually not global, but rather node-specific. "Preferred" and "Non-preferred" are typically relative to each particular node. Therefore, these classifications are typically stored local to each node. In addition, nodes may be classified in a number of different ways. For example, a node may be classified as both "Preferred" and "Brand X-centric."

5 The trading network of the present invention can be used in many situations, not just to send purchase requests for customers. For example, a retailer manager may need to restock the warehouse. He may use the inventive system to send multiple requests for those items that are not well stocked. In addition, inventory replenishment may be an automated process. Replenishment could be automatically triggered when inventory is low and rules may be
10 established to control the final purchase decisions.

As another example, a node may use the trading network of the present invention to make an unsolicited sales offer. This may be useful, for example, in the case of inventory overstock. As with inventory replenishment, unsolicited sales offers may be an automated process.

15 The above examples illustrate the value of peer-to-peer trading in a trading network, and the value of integrating the trading network with existing enterprise software.

Since the system supplies access to a large trading network the point-of-sale customer is provided with a rich set of choices. The end-customer is afforded the opportunity to make a choice based on a wide variety of characteristics, such as brand, price and location. If a product
20 is not available at the physical point-of-sale, a business in the trading network of the present invention can still rapidly respond to an order by trading with another business in the trading network.

The trading network of the present invention allows for a "virtual warehouse" so that all members can avoid over-stocking. This leads to price reduction and increased profitability.

Each business in the trading network of the present invention conducts business according to its own priorities and chooses the degree to which its private information is shared with other members of the network.

Each participant in the trading network of the present invention perceives itself as being
5 the center of the whole network and can access the disclosed resources of any or all of the other members of the virtual enterprise.

Although various embodiments are specifically illustrated and described herein, it will be appreciated that modifications and variations of the present invention are covered by the above teachings and within the purview of the appended claims without departing from the spirit and
10 intended scope of the invention. For example, although the embodiments of the invention implement the functionality of the processes described herein in software, it can be appreciated that the functionality of these processes may be implemented in hardware, software, or a combination of hardware and software using well-known techniques.

CLAIMS:

1. A method of fulfilling a request on a trading network comprised of a plurality of trading partners, comprising the steps of:
 - (a) sending a request to at least one trading partner, whereby the request is sent only to trading partners chosen by a trading rule;
 - (b) receiving at least one response to the request from the at least one trading partner;
 - (c) ranking the at least one responses according to an evaluation rule; and
 - (d) accepting one of the at least one responses.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the request is a purchase request and the response is an offer to sell.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the request is a sale request and the response is an offer to buy.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the at least one response is automatically generated by a trading partner.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein step (d) additionally comprises automatically accepting the highest ranked response.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein step (d) additionally comprises presenting the ranked responses to a user, and accepting the user's choice of responses.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the trading rule takes into account whether the partner is a preferred trading partner.
8. The method of claim 7, wherein the determination of whether a trading partner is a preferred trading partner is made by using a list of predetermined trading partners.
9. The method of claim 1, wherein the trading rule is based on a minimum preferred partner score.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein the trading rule takes into account whether the partner primarily sells a certain brand of products.
11. The method of claim 1, wherein the trading rule takes into account whether the partner is located within a certain geographical area.
12. The method of claim 11, wherein the geographical area is defined by a list of regions.
13. The method of claim 12, wherein the list of regions is a list of counties.
14. The method of claim 11, wherein the geographical area is defined by a point and radius around the point.
15. The method of claim 1, wherein the trading rule takes into account whether the partner has an acceptable delivery record.

16. The method of claim 1, wherein the evaluation rule is based on price.
17. The method of claim 1, wherein the evaluation rule is based on promised delivery date.
- 5 18. The method of claim 1, wherein the evaluation rule is based on acceptable delivery record.
19. The method of claim 1, wherein the evaluation rule is based on brand.
- 10 20. The method of claim 1, wherein the evaluation rule is comprised of at least two criteria, and step (c) comprises using a weighted sum of the at least two criteria to rank the offers.
21. The method of claim 1, wherein the trading rule is based on at least two partner criteria,
- 15 and step (a) comprises sending a request to at least one trading partner, whereby the request is only sent to trading partners that meet the rule based on all partner criteria.
22. The method of claim 1, wherein the trading rule is comprise of at least two partner criteria, and step (a) comprises sending a request to at least one trading partner, whereby the
- 20 request is sent to trading partners that meet the rule based on any of the at least two partner criteria.

23. The method of claim 1, wherein step (c) comprises ranking the at least one responses according to a first evaluation rule, and if no single response is ranked highest, ranking the at least one responses again by a second evaluation rule.

5 24. The method of claim 23, additionally comprising ranking the at least one responses again by a third evaluation rule.

25. The method of claim 1, additionally comprising the step of:

(e) receiving a confirmation of the accepted response.

10

26. A method for a node in a trading network to respond to a request for a specified quantity of specified goods, comprising the steps of:

(a) receiving a request;

(b) determining whether to respond to the request according to a trading rule;

15 (c) generating a response according to said determination, wherein said response includes at least one node preference; and

(d) responding to the request with the response generated in step (c).

20 27. The method of claim 26, wherein said request is a purchase request, and said response is an offer to sell.

28. The method of claim 26, wherein said request is a sale request, and said response is an offer to buy.

29. The method of claim 26, wherein the trading rule is based on having a specified number of the specified goods remaining in inventory if the request is fulfilled.

30. The method of claim 26, wherein the trading rule is based on the node making the request being a preferred trading partner.

31. The method of claim 26, wherein the trading rule is based on the node making the request having an acceptable credit record.

32. The method of claim 26, wherein the trading rule is based on the node making the request having an acceptable payment history with the node responding to the request.

33. The method of claim 26, wherein the at least one preference includes determining a markup specific to the node making the request.

34. The method of claim 26, wherein the at least one preference includes selling an identified brand.

35. A method for a requesting node to determine which of a plurality of offers to accept, comprising the steps of:

- (a) receiving a plurality of offers;
- (b) ranking said offers using an evaluation rule; and
- (c) determining whether to accept an offer.

36. The method of claim 35, additionally comprising accepting an offer sending an acceptance message to the trading partner that sent the accepted offer.

37. The method of claim 35, wherein the offers are offers to sell.

38. The method of claim 35, wherein the offers are offers to buy.

39. The method of claim 35, wherein said evaluation rule includes ranking an offer with an identified brand higher than offers with any other brand.

40. The method of claim 35, wherein said evaluation rule includes ranking the offer with the lowest price the highest.

41. The method of claim 35, wherein said evaluation rule includes setting a maximum number of offers to evaluate, and step (b) comprises ranking offers until the maximum number of offers has been received.

42. The method of claim 35, wherein said evaluation rule includes ranking only offers that complete an entire request.

43. The method of claim 35, wherein step (c) comprises determining the highest ranked offer and automatically accepting the highest ranked offer.

44. The method of claim 35, wherein step (c) comprises displaying the ranked offers to a user, and if the user selects an offer, accepting the offer the user selected.

45. The method of claim 35, wherein the ranking in step (c) is determined by using a
5 weighted sum of criteria used by the evaluation rule.

46. A trading network comprising a plurality of nodes,
wherein at least one node is a different type of entity than at least one other node;
wherein any node participating in the trading network can trade with any other node in the
10 trading network;
wherein each node has a set of private, individual trading rules that govern that node's trading
behavior; and
wherein a first node may send a trading request to at least one second node according to the first
node's trading rules, and the at least one second node determines whether and how to respond to
15 the trading request according to the at least one second node's trading rules.

47. The trading network of claim 46, wherein the types of entities include retailers,
distributors and manufacturers.

20 48. The trading network of claim 46, wherein the trading network is integrated with an
internal order processing system at each node.

49. The trading network of claim 48, wherein the internal order processing system is an ERP
system.

50. The trading network of claim 46, wherein the trading request is a message sent from the first node to the second node.
- 5 51. The trading network of claim 50, wherein the trading request is a message sent from the first node to the second node over the Internet.
52. The trading network of claim 50, wherein the message is in XML format.
- 10 53. The trading network of claim 50, wherein the message is encrypted.
54. The trading network of claim 53, wherein the encryption is done using public key cryptography.
- 15 55. The trading network of claim 55, wherein X.509 digital signatures are used to verify the sending node's identity.
56. The trading network of claim 46, additionally comprising a central repository.
- 20 57. The trading network of claim 56, wherein the plurality of nodes communicate with the central repository through messages.
58. The trading network of claim 57, wherein a message between a node and the central repository is in XML format.

59. The trading network of claim 56, wherein the central repository stores information about each of the plurality of nodes in the trading network.

60. The trading network of claim 56, wherein the central repository gathers and stores trading performance information.

61. The trading network of claim 60, wherein the stored performance information is used to determine a participating node's scored performance.

62. The trading network of claim 56, wherein the central repository stores global rule parameters that a node may use as its own individual rule parameters.

63. A method for a node in a trading network to make a request to at least one other node on the trading network, comprising the steps of:

- (a) calculating a score for each of a plurality of trading nodes on the trading network using at least one criterion established by the requesting node;
- (b) for each of the plurality of trading nodes, determining if the calculated score meets a minimum threshold; and
- (c) sending a request from a requesting node to any trading nodes that have a minimum score;

wherein the trading network makes the determination in step (b) and automatically sends the requests to the trading nodes with a minimum score.

64. The method of claim 63, wherein the calculation in step (a) is made by calculating a weighted average.

65. The method of claim 64, wherein the weighted average is calculated using a score for
5 each of the at least one criteria, and a weight for each of the at least one criteria.

66. The method of claim 63, wherein if no calculated scores meet the minimum threshold, the minimum threshold is lowered, and the scores are recalculated.

10 67. A method for a requesting node to rank a plurality of responses to a request sent by the requesting node on a trading network, comprising the steps of:

(a) receiving a plurality of responses;

(b) calculating a score for each of the plurality of responses using at least one criterion established by the requesting node; and

15 (c) ranking the responses according to the calculated score;

wherein the trading network makes the calculation in step (b) and automatically accepts the highest ranked response.

1/20




Fig. 1

2/20




Fig. 2





Fig. 3

4/20

**Fig. 4A**

5/20





Fig. 4B

6/20

**Fig. 4C**

7/20




Fig. 4D

8/20

OpenMe!tradingNetwork

Exit New UserSession Order Maintenance Tools About

Product Search

TTN Search

Product Inventory Search

Search Filment Guide

CATALOG ITEM PRODUCT CLASS

T

CATALOG ITEM ID

ITEM DESCRIPTION

QUANTITY

4

DATE REQUIRED

02/16/2001

ATTRIBUTE SEARCH

CATEGORY

CLASS

SIZE

2036015

FILMENT SIZE

SERVICE INDEX

SUBCATEGORY

MANUFACTURER

UNIVERSAL SIZE

SPEED RATING

PRODUCT SCREEN

GO

PATG 02/16/2001 12:32:50
M022163507

Fig. 5

9/20

SEARCH RESULTS 4 RECORD(S)

SEARCH CANCEL CLEAR

ITEM ID	DESCRIPTION	SCORE	MANUFACTURER	SIZE	QTY	SELLER	UNIT COST	SHIPPING	TOTAL COST	AVAILABLE DATE	IND
5274	20560R15 90H BFG	88	BFGOODRICH	2056015	4	HOLMES/TREDST	\$93.56	\$0.00	\$334.24	02/17/2001	
4142	20560R15 90S MIC	85	MICHELIN	2056015	4	GW TIRE	\$99.23	\$0.00	\$396.92	02/17/2001	
3942	20560R15 91H BFG	84	BFGOODRICH	2056015	4	ADAMSVALLETIR	\$98.75	\$0.00	\$395.00	02/19/2001	
60870	P20560R15 90H MIC	82	MICHELIN	2056015	4	HOLMES/TREDST	\$110.10	\$0.00	\$440.40	02/18/2001	
60870	P20560R15 90H MIC	82	MICHELIN	2056015	4	ADAMSVALLETIR	\$111.49	\$0.00	\$445.96	02/18/2001	
64401	P2056015 MICH ENEF	78	MICHELIN	2056015	4	GW TIRE	\$115.02	\$0.00	\$460.08	02/20/2001	
63482	P20560R15 90H MIC	77	MICHELIN	2056015	4	ADAMSVALLETIR	\$130.57	\$0.00	\$522.28	02/19/2001	
43356	P2056015 MICH ENEF	69	MICHELIN	2056015	4	GW TIRE	\$163.04	\$0.00	\$652.16	02/20/2001	

ITEM DETAIL AVAILABILITY SUBSTITUTES QUICK QUOTE ADD TO ORDER CLOSE

START STOP PRINT F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25 F26 F27 F28 F29 F30 F31 F32 F33 F34 F35 F36 F37 F38 F39 F40 F41 F42 F43 F44 F45 F46 F47 F48 F49 F50 F51 F52 F53 F54 F55 F56 F57 F58 F59 F60 F61 F62 F63 F64 F65 F66 F67 F68 F69 F70 F71 F72 F73 F74 F75 F76 F77 F78 F79 F80 F81 F82 F83 F84 F85 F86 F87 F88 F89 F90 F91 F92 F93 F94 F95 F96 F97 F98 F99 F100 F101 F102 F103 F104 F105 F106 F107 F108 F109 F110 F111 F112 F113 F114 F115 F116 F117 F118 F119 F120 F121 F122 F123 F124 F125 F126 F127 F128 F129 F130 F131 F132 F133 F134 F135 F136 F137 F138 F139 F140 F141 F142 F143 F144 F145 F146 F147 F148 F149 F150 F151 F152 F153 F154 F155 F156 F157 F158 F159 F160 F161 F162 F163 F164 F165 F166 F167 F168 F169 F170 F171 F172 F173 F174 F175 F176 F177 F178 F179 F180 F181 F182 F183 F184 F185 F186 F187 F188 F189 F190 F191 F192 F193 F194 F195 F196 F197 F198 F199 F200 F201 F202 F203 F204 F205 F206 F207 F208 F209 F210 F211 F212 F213 F214 F215 F216 F217 F218 F219 F220 F221 F222 F223 F224 F225 F226 F227 F228 F229 F230 F231 F232 F233 F234 F235 F236 F237 F238 F239 F240 F241 F242 F243 F244 F245 F246 F247 F248 F249 F250 F251 F252 F253 F254 F255 F256 F257 F258 F259 F260 F261 F262 F263 F264 F265 F266 F267 F268 F269 F270 F271 F272 F273 F274 F275 F276 F277 F278 F279 F280 F281 F282 F283 F284 F285 F286 F287 F288 F289 F290 F291 F292 F293 F294 F295 F296 F297 F298 F299 F300 F301 F302 F303 F304 F305 F306 F307 F308 F309 F310 F311 F312 F313 F314 F315 F316 F317 F318 F319 F320 F321 F322 F323 F324 F325 F326 F327 F328 F329 F330 F331 F332 F333 F334 F335 F336 F337 F338 F339 F340 F341 F342 F343 F344 F345 F346 F347 F348 F349 F350 F351 F352 F353 F354 F355 F356 F357 F358 F359 F360 F361 F362 F363 F364 F365 F366 F367 F368 F369 F370 F371 F372 F373 F374 F375 F376 F377 F378 F379 F380 F381 F382 F383 F384 F385 F386 F387 F388 F389 F390 F391 F392 F393 F394 F395 F396 F397 F398 F399 F400 F401 F402 F403 F404 F405 F406 F407 F408 F409 F410 F411 F412 F413 F414 F415 F416 F417 F418 F419 F420 F421 F422 F423 F424 F425 F426 F427 F428 F429 F430 F431 F432 F433 F434 F435 F436 F437 F438 F439 F440 F441 F442 F443 F444 F445 F446 F447 F448 F449 F450 F451 F452 F453 F454 F455 F456 F457 F458 F459 F460 F461 F462 F463 F464 F465 F466 F467 F468 F469 F470 F471 F472 F473 F474 F475 F476 F477 F478 F479 F480 F481 F482 F483 F484 F485 F486 F487 F488 F489 F490 F491 F492 F493 F494 F495 F496 F497 F498 F499 F500 F501 F502 F503 F504 F505 F506 F507 F508 F509 F510 F511 F512 F513 F514 F515 F516 F517 F518 F519 F520 F521 F522 F523 F524 F525 F526 F527 F528 F529 F530 F531 F532 F533 F534 F535 F536 F537 F538 F539 F540 F541 F542 F543 F544 F545 F546 F547 F548 F549 F550 F551 F552 F553 F554 F555 F556 F557 F558 F559 F560 F561 F562 F563 F564 F565 F566 F567 F568 F569 F570 F571 F572 F573 F574 F575 F576 F577 F578 F579 F580 F581 F582 F583 F584 F585 F586 F587 F588 F589 F590 F591 F592 F593 F594 F595 F596 F597 F598 F599 F600 F601 F602 F603 F604 F605 F606 F607 F608 F609 F610 F611 F612 F613 F614 F615 F616 F617 F618 F619 F620 F621 F622 F623 F624 F625 F626 F627 F628 F629 F630 F631 F632 F633 F634 F635 F636 F637 F638 F639 F640 F641 F642 F643 F644 F645 F646 F647 F648 F649 F650 F651 F652 F653 F654 F655 F656 F657 F658 F659 F660 F661 F662 F663 F664 F665 F666 F667 F668 F669 F670 F671 F672 F673 F674 F675 F676 F677 F678 F679 F680 F681 F682 F683 F684 F685 F686 F687 F688 F689 F690 F691 F692 F693 F694 F695 F696 F697 F698 F699 F700 F701 F702 F703 F704 F705 F706 F707 F708 F709 F710 F711 F712 F713 F714 F715 F716 F717 F718 F719 F720 F721 F722 F723 F724 F725 F726 F727 F728 F729 F730 F731 F732 F733 F734 F735 F736 F737 F738 F739 F740 F741 F742 F743 F744 F745 F746 F747 F748 F749 F750 F751 F752 F753 F754 F755 F756 F757 F758 F759 F760 F761 F762 F763 F764 F765 F766 F767 F768 F769 F770 F771 F772 F773 F774 F775 F776 F777 F778 F779 F780 F781 F782 F783 F784 F785 F786 F787 F788 F789 F790 F791 F792 F793 F794 F795 F796 F797 F798 F799 F800 F801 F802 F803 F804 F805 F806 F807 F808 F809 F810 F811 F812 F813 F814 F815 F816 F817 F818 F819 F820 F821 F822 F823 F824 F825 F826 F827 F828 F829 F830 F831 F832 F833 F834 F835 F836 F837 F838 F839 F840 F841 F842 F843 F844 F845 F846 F847 F848 F849 F850 F851 F852 F853 F854 F855 F856 F857 F858 F859 F860 F861 F862 F863 F864 F865 F866 F867 F868 F869 F870 F871 F872 F873 F874 F875 F876 F877 F878 F879 F880 F881 F882 F883 F884 F885 F886 F887 F888 F889 F890 F891 F892 F893 F894 F895 F896 F897 F898 F899 F900 F901 F902 F903 F904 F905 F906 F907 F908 F909 F910 F911 F912 F913 F914 F915 F916 F917 F918 F919 F920 F921 F922 F923 F924 F925 F926 F927 F928 F929 F930 F931 F932 F933 F934 F935 F936 F937 F938 F939 F940 F941 F942 F943 F944 F945 F946 F947 F948 F949 F950 F951 F952 F953 F954 F955 F956 F957 F958 F959 F960 F961 F962 F963 F964 F965 F966 F967 F968 F969 F970 F971 F972 F973 F974 F975 F976 F977 F978 F979 F980 F981 F982 F983 F984 F985 F986 F987 F988 F989 F990 F991 F992 F993 F994 F995 F996 F997 F998 F999 F1000 F1001 F1002 F1003 F1004 F1005 F1006 F1007 F1008 F1009 F1010 F1011 F1012 F1013 F1014 F1015 F1016 F1017 F1018 F1019 F1020 F1021 F1022 F1023 F1024 F1025 F1026 F1027 F1028 F1029 F1030 F1031 F1032 F1033 F1034 F1035 F1036 F1037 F1038 F1039 F1040 F1041 F1042 F1043 F1044 F1045 F1046 F1047 F1048 F1049 F1050 F1051 F1052 F1053 F1054 F1055 F1056 F1057 F1058 F1059 F1060 F1061 F1062 F1063 F1064 F1065 F1066 F1067 F1068 F1069 F1070 F1071 F1072 F1073 F1074 F1075 F1076 F1077 F1078 F1079 F1080 F1081 F1082 F1083 F1084 F1085 F1086 F1087 F1088 F1089 F1090 F1091 F1092 F1093 F1094 F1095 F1096 F1097 F1098 F1099 F1100 F1101 F1102 F1103 F1104 F1105 F1106 F1107 F1108 F1109 F1110 F1111 F1112 F1113 F1114 F1115 F1116 F1117 F1118 F1119 F1120 F1121 F1122 F1123 F1124 F1125 F1126 F1127 F1128 F1129 F1130 F1131 F1132 F1133 F1134 F1135 F1136 F1137 F1138 F1139 F1140 F1141 F1142 F1143 F1144 F1145 F1146 F1147 F1148 F1149 F1150 F1151 F1152 F1153 F1154 F1155 F1156 F1157 F1158 F1159 F1160 F1161 F1162 F1163 F1164 F1165 F1166 F1167 F1168 F1169 F1170 F1171 F1172 F1173 F1174 F1175 F1176 F1177 F1178 F1179 F1180 F1181 F1182 F1183 F1184 F1185 F1186 F1187 F1188 F1189 F1190 F1191 F1192 F1193 F1194 F1195 F1196 F1197 F1198 F1199 F1200 F1201 F1202 F1203 F1204 F1205 F1206 F1207 F1208 F1209 F1210 F1211 F1212 F1213 F1214 F1215 F1216 F1217 F1218 F1219 F1220 F1221 F1222 F1223 F1224 F1225 F1226 F1227 F1228 F1229 F1230 F1231 F1232 F1233 F1234 F1235 F1236 F1237 F1238 F1239 F1240 F1241 F1242 F1243 F1244 F1245 F1246 F1247 F1248 F1249 F1250 F1251 F1252 F1253 F1254 F1255 F1256 F1257 F1258 F1259 F1260 F1261 F1262 F1263 F1264 F1265 F1266 F1267 F1268 F1269 F1270 F1271 F1272 F1273 F1274 F1275 F1276 F1277 F1278 F1279 F1280 F1281 F1282 F1283 F1284 F1285 F1286 F1287 F1288 F1289 F1290 F1291 F1292 F1293 F1294 F1295 F1296 F1297 F1298 F1299 F1300 F1301 F1302 F1303 F1304 F1305 F1306 F1307 F1308 F1309 F1310 F1311 F1312 F1313 F1314 F1315 F1316 F1317 F1318 F1319 F1320 F1321 F1322 F1323 F1324 F1325 F1326 F1327 F1328 F1329 F1330 F1331 F1332 F1333 F1334 F1335 F1336 F1337 F1338 F1339 F1340 F1341 F1342 F1343 F1344 F1345 F1346 F1347 F1348 F1349 F1350 F1351 F1352 F1353 F1354 F1355 F1356 F1357 F1358 F1359 F1360 F1361 F1362 F1363 F1364 F1365 F1366 F1367 F1368 F1369 F1370 F1371 F1372 F1373 F1374 F1375 F1376 F1377 F1378 F1379 F1380 F1381 F1382 F1383 F1384 F1385 F1386 F1387 F1388 F1389 F1390 F1391 F1392 F1393 F1394 F1395 F1396 F1397 F1398 F1399 F1400 F1401 F1402 F1403 F1404 F1405 F1406 F1407 F1408 F1409 F1410 F1411 F1412 F1413 F1414 F1415 F1416 F1417 F1418 F1419 F1420 F1421 F1422 F1423 F1424 F1425 F1426 F1427 F1428 F1429 F1430 F1431 F1432 F1433 F1434 F1435 F1436 F1437 F1438 F1439 F1440 F1441 F1442 F1443 F1444 F1445 F1446 F1447 F1448 F1449 F1450 F1451 F1452 F1453 F1454 F1455 F1456 F1457 F1458 F1459 F1460 F1461 F1462 F1463 F1464 F1465 F1466 F1467 F1468 F1469 F1470 F1471 F1472 F1473 F1474 F1475 F1476 F1477 F1478 F1479 F1480 F1481 F1482 F1483 F1484 F1485 F1486 F1487 F1488 F1489 F1490 F1491 F1492 F1493 F1494 F1495 F1496 F1497 F1498 F1499 F1500 F1501 F1502 F1503 F1504 F1505 F1506 F1507 F1508 F1509 F1510 F1511 F1512 F1513 F1514 F1515 F1516 F1517 F1518 F1519 F1520 F1521 F1522 F1523 F1524 F1525 F1526 F1527 F1528 F1529 F1530 F1531 F1532 F1533 F1534 F1535 F1536 F1537 F1538 F1539 F1540 F1541 F1542 F1543 F1544 F1545 F1546 F1547 F1548 F1549 F1550 F1551 F1552 F1553 F1554 F1555 F1556 F1557 F1558 F1559 F1560 F1561 F1562 F1563 F1564 F1565 F1566 F1567 F1568 F1569 F1570 F1571 F1572 F1573 F1574 F1575 F1576 F1577 F1578 F1579 F1580 F1581 F1582 F1583 F1584 F1585 F1586 F1587 F1588 F1589 F1590 F1591 F1592 F1593 F1594 F1595 F1596 F1597 F1598 F1599 F1600 F1601 F1602 F1603 F1604 F1605 F1606 F1607 F1608 F1609 F1610 F1611 F1612 F1613 F1614 F1615 F1616 F1617 F1618 F1619 F1620 F1621 F1622 F1623 F1624 F1625 F1626 F1627 F1628 F1629 F1630 F1631 F1632 F1633 F1634 F1635 F1636 F1637 F1638 F1639 F1640 F1641 F1642 F1643 F1644 F1645 F1646 F1647 F1648 F1649 F1650 F1651 F1652 F1653 F1654 F1655 F1656 F1657 F1658 F1659 F1660 F1661 F1662 F1663 F1664 F1665 F1666 F1667 F1668 F1669 F1670 F1671 F1672 F1673 F1674 F1675 F1676 F1677 F1678 F1679 F1680 F1681 F1682 F1683 F1684 F1685 F1686 F1687 F1688 F1689 F1690 F1691 F1692 F1693 F1694 F1695 F1696 F1697 F1698 F1699 F1700 F1701 F1702 F1703 F1704 F1705 F1706 F1707 F1708 F1709 F1710 F1711 F1712 F1713 F1714 F1715 F1716 F1717 F1718 F1719 F1720 F1721 F1722 F1723 F1724 F1725 F1726 F1727 F1728 F1729 F1730 F1731 F1732 F1733 F1734 F1735 F1736 F1737 F1738 F1739 F1740 F1741 F1742 F1743 F1744 F1745 F1746 F1747 F1748 F1749 F1750 F1751 F1752 F1753 F1754 F1755 F1756 F1757 F1758 F1759 F1760 F1761 F1762 F1763 F1764 F1765 F1766 F1767 F1768 F1769 F1770 F1771 F1772 F1773 F1774 F1775 F1776 F1777 F1778 F1779 F1780 F1781 F1782 F1783 F1784 F1785 F1786 F1787 F1788 F1789 F1790 F1791 F1792 F1793 F1794 F1795 F1796 F1797 F1798 F1799 F1800 F1801 F1802 F1803 F1804 F1805 F1806 F1807 F1808 F1809 F1810 F1811 F1812 F1813 F1814 F1815 F1816 F1817 F1818 F1819 F1820 F1821 F1822 F1823 F1824 F1825 F1826 F1827 F1828 F1829 F1830 F1831 F1832 F1833 F1834 F1835 F1836 F1837 F1838 F1839 F1840 F1841 F1842 F1843 F1844 F1845 F1846 F1847 F1848 F1849 F1850 F1851 F1852 F1853 F1854 F1855 F1856 F1857 F1858 F1859 F1860 F1861 F1862 F1863 F1864 F1865 F1866 F1867 F1868 F1869 F1870 F1871 F1872 F1873 F1874 F1875 F1876 F1877 F1878 F1879 F1880 F1881 F1882 F1883 F1884 F1885 F1886 F1887 F1888 F1889 F1890 F1891 F1892 F1893 F1894 F1895 F1896 F1897 F1898 F1899 F1900 F1901 F1902 F1903 F1904 F1905 F1906 F1907 F1908 F1909 F1910 F1911 F1912 F1913 F1914 F1915 F1916 F1917 F1918 F1919 F1920 F1921 F1922 F1923 F1924 F1925 F1926 F1927 F1928 F1929 F1930 F1931 F1932 F1933 F1934 F1935 F1936 F1937 F1938 F1939 F1940 F1941 F1942 F1943 F1944 F1945 F1946 F1947 F1948 F1949 F1950 F1951 F1952 F1953 F1954 F1955 F1956 F1957 F1958 F1959 F1960 F1961 F1962 F1963 F1964 F1965 F1966 F1967 F1968 F1969 F1970 F1971 F1972 F1973 F1974 F1975 F1976 F1977 F1978 F1979 F1980 F1981 F1982 F1983 F1984 F1985 F1986 F1987 F1988 F1989 F1990 F1991 F1992 F1993 F1994 F1995 F1996 F1997 F1998 F1999 F2000 F2001 F2002 F2003 F2004 F2005 F2006 F2007 F2008 F2009 F2010 F2011 F2012 F2013 F2014 F2015 F2016 F2017 F2018 F2019 F2020 F2021 F2022 F2023 F2024 F2025 F2026 F2027 F2028 F2029 F2030 F2031 F2032 F2033 F2034 F2035 F2036 F2037 F2038 F2039 F2040 F2041 F2042 F2043 F2044 F2045 F2046 F2047 F2048 F2049 F2050 F2051 F2052 F2053 F2054 F2055 F2056 F2057 F2058 F2059 F2060 F2061 F2062 F2063 F2064 F2065 F2066 F2067 F2068 F2069 F2070 F2071 F2072 F2073 F2074 F2075 F2076 F2077 F2078 F2079 F2080 F2081 F2082 F2083 F2084 F2085 F2086 F2087 F2088 F2089 F2090 F2091 F2092 F2093 F2094 F2095 F2096 F2097 F2098 F2099 F2100 F2101 F2102 F2103 F2104 F2105 F2106 F2107 F2108 F2109 F2110 F2111 F2112 F2113 F2114 F2115 F2116 F2117 F2118 F2119 F2120 F2121 F2122 F2123 F2124 F2125 F2126 F2127 F2128 F2129 F2130 F2131 F2132 F2133 F2134 F2135 F2136 F2137 F2138 F2139 F2140 F2141 F2142 F2143 F2144 F2145 F2146 F2147 F2148 F2149 F2150 F2151 F2152 F2153 F2154 F2155 F2156 F2157 F2158 F2159 F2160 F2161 F2162 F2163 F2164 F2165 F2166 F2167 F2168 F2169 F2170 F2171 F2172 F2173 F2174 F2175 F2176 F2177 F2178 F2179 F2180 F2181 F2182 F2183 F2184 F2185 F2186 F2187 F2188 F2189 F2190 F2191 F2192 F2193 F2194 F2195 F2196 F2197 F2198 F2199 F2200 F2201 F2202 F2203 F2204 F2205 F2206 F2207 F2208 F2209 F2210 F2211 F2212 F2213 F2214 F2215 F2216 F2217 F2218 F2219 F2220 F2221 F2222 F2223 F2224 F2225 F2226 F2227 F2228 F2229 F2230 F2231 F2232 F2233 F2234 F2235 F2236 F2237 F2238 F2239 F2240 F2241 F2242 F2243 F2244 F2245 F2246 F2247 F2248 F2249 F2250 F2251 F2252 F2253 F2254 F2255 F2256 F2257 F2258 F2259 F2260 F2261 F2262 F2263 F2264 F2265 F2266 F2267 F2268 F2269 F2270 F2271 F2272 F2273 F2274 F2275 F2276 F2277 F2278 F2279 F2280 F2281 F2282 F2283 F2284 F2285 F2286 F2287 F2288 F2289 F2290 F2291 F2292 F2293 F2294 F2295 F2296 F2297 F2298 F2299 F2300 F2301 F2302 F2303 F2304 F2305 F2306 F2307 F2308 F2309 F2310 F2311 F2312 F2313 F2314 F2315 F2316 F2317 F2318 F2319 F2320 F2321 F2322 F2323 F2324 F2325 F2326 F2327 F2328 F2329 F2330 F2331 F2332 F2333 F2334 F2335 F2336 F2337 F2338 F2339 F2340 F2341 F2342 F2343 F2344 F2345 F2346 F2347 F2348 F2349 F2350 F2351 F2352 F2353 F2354 F2355 F2356 F2357 F2358 F2359 F2360 F2361 F2362 F2363 F2364 F2365 F2366 F2367 F2368 F2369 F2370 F2371 F2372 F2373 F2374 F2375 F2376 F2377 F2378 F2379 F2380 F2381 F2382 F2383 F2384 F2385 F2386 F2387 F2388 F2389 F2390 F2391 F2392 F2393 F2394 F2395 F2396 F2397 F2398 F2399 F2400 F2401 F2402 F2403 F2404 F2405 F2406 F2407 F2408 F2409 F2410 F2411 F2412 F2413 F

10/20




Fig. 6A

11/20




Fig. 6B

12/20




Fig. 6C

13/20




Fig. 7A

14/20




Fig. 7B

15/20




Fig. 7C

16/20




Fig. 8A

17/20




Fig. 8B

18/20

Internode Messages and Fields**• Purchase Request**

Reference Number
 Sent At Time
 Buyer (originating/buying dealer)
 Seller (target/selling dealer)
 Ship-to address
 Quantity
 Part Number Description
 Generic Product Description
 Need-by Date
 Partial Fulfillment OK (boolean)
 Knock on Request (boolean – true if sent by dealer to acquire inventory to fulfill purchase request received from another dealer.)

• Offer To Sell

Reference Number
 Sent At Time
 Response To (reference number of Purchase request being responded to)
 Buyer (target/buying dealer)
 Seller (originating/selling dealer)
 Ship-to address
 Quantity
 Part Number Description
 Promise Date
 Unit Price
 State and Local Taxes
 Federal Excise Tax
 Shipping Cost
 Total Price

• Offer Acceptance

Reference Number
 Sent At
 Response To (ref. no. of Offer Acceptance)
 Order Confirmation (True, false, partial)
 Buyer (target/buying dealer)
 Seller (originating/selling dealer)
 Quantity

• Acceptance Response

Reference number
 Sent At Time
 Response To
 Order Confirmation
 Buyer

Seller
 Quantity

• Purchase Order

Reference Number
 Sent At Time
 Buyer
 Seller
 Ship-to Address
 PO Number
 List of one or more items (quantity and part number for each)

• PO Response

Reference Number
 Sent At Time
 Response TO
 Order Confirmation
 Buyer
 Seller
 Ship-To Address
 PO Number
 List of one or more items (including quantity, partial fulfillment boolean, part no., promise date, unit price, state/local taxes, federal excise tax, shipping cost, total price for each)

• Invoice

Reference Number
 Sent At Time
 Buyer
 Seller
 Ship-to Address
 PO Number
 List of one or more items (quantity, part no., promise date, unit price, state/local taxes, federal excise tax, shipping cost, total price for each)

• Advance Shipping Notice

Reference Number
 Sent At Time
 Order Reference Number
 Buyer
 Seller
 Ship-to Address
 PO Number
 List of one or more items (quantity, part number and promise date for each)

Fig. 9A

19/20

Intranode Messages and Fields

- **Inventory Inquiry**

ID
Sent At Time
Part Number Description
Generic Product Description

- **Inventory Response**

ID
Sent At Time
Response To (ID of Inventory Inquiry being responded to)
List of one or more items (including Part Number Description, quantity available, quantity on order for each)

- **Price Inquiry**

ID
Sent At
Customer (dealer record, used to ID internal customer number)
Ship-to Address
Part Number Description
Quantity

- **Price Response**

ID
Sent At Time
Response To
Part Number Description
Unit Price
State/Local tax
Federal Excise Tax
Shipping Cost
Total Price
Unit Margin
Quantity

- **Purchase Need**

Reference Number
Sent At Time
Part Number Description
Generic Product Description
Quantity Required
Need By Date
Ship-to Address

- **Purchase Option**

Reference Number
Sent At Time
Response To
Offer To Sell Reference No.
Seller
Quantity
Part Number Description
Promise Date
Rating
Total Price
Ship-To Address

- **Purchase Choice**

Response To
Sent At Time
Quantity
PO Number

- **Order Request**

Reference Number
Sent At Time
Buyer
Ship-to Address
PO Number (of buyer)
List of one or more items (quantity, part number, promise date, unit price, state/local taxes, federal excise tax, shipping cost, total price for each)

- **Order Response**

Reference Number
Sent At Time
Response To
Order Accepted (boolean)

Fig. 9B

20/20




Fig. 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US01/05609

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(7) : G06F17/60 US CL : 705/26 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 705/1, 705/29, 705/26, 705/27, 705/20, 705/22, 705/400, 705/500, 705/80, 705/50, 705/55, 705/56, 705/58 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Please See Continuation Sheet		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y,P	US 6,119,101 A (PECKOVER) 12 September 2000 (12.09.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y	US 6,012,051 A (SAMMON, Jr. et al.) 04 January 2000 (04.01.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y	US 6,014,643 A (MINTON) 11 January 2000 (11.01.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,112,186 A (BERGH et al.) 29 August 2000 (29.08.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,108,639 A (WALKER et al.) 22 August 2000 (22.08.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,092,049 A (CHISLENKO et al.) 18 July 2000 (18.07.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,049,785 A (GIFFORD) 11 April 2000 (11.04.2000).	1-54,56-67
Y,P	US 6,141,653 A (CONKLIN et al.) 31 October 2000 (31.10.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,141,006 A (KNOWLTON et al.) 31 October 2000 (31.10.2000).	1-54,56-67
Y,P	US 6,131,087 A (LUKE et al.) 10 October 2000 (10.10.2000).	1-54,56-67
Y,P	US 6,125,391 A (MELTZER et al.) 26 September 2000 (26.09.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,167,386 A (BROWN) 26 December 2000 (26.12.2000).	1-54,56-67
Y,P	US 6,078,740 A (DETREVILLE) 20 June 2000 (20.06.2000).	1-54, 56-67
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents:		
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E"	earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report 18 MAY 2001
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Authorized officer James P Trammell <i>James R. Matthews</i> Telephone No. (703)306-5484

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern. application No.

PCT/US01/05609

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☒ Claim Nos.: 55
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
This claim references itself.
3. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Pr test

☐
☐

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US01/05609

Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item3: USPAT, US-PGPUB, EPO, JPO, DERWENT, IBM TDB Search terms: trading network, trading partners, trading rule, evaluation rule, purchase request, response, offer to sell, sale request, offer to buy, response automatically generated, ranked response, choice or selection of responses, *preferred trading partner*, *predetermined trading partners*, minimum preferred partner score, brand of products, geographical area , list of regions, list of counties, acceptable delivery record, evaluation rule based on price, weighted sum of two criteria, two criteria, node, retailers, distributors, manufacturers, internal order processing system, ERP, first node, second node, XML format, encrypted, public key cryptography, X.509, digital, signatures, central repository, trading performance, global rule parameters, calculating, score, weighted average, scores.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US01/05609

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : G06F17/60

US CL : 705/26

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 705/1, 705/29, 705/26, 705/27, 705/20, 705/22, 705/400, 705/500, 705/80, 705/50, 705/55, 705/56, 705/58

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
Please See Continuation Sheet

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y,P	US 6,119,101 A (PECKOVER) 12 September 2000 (12.09.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y	US 6,012,051 A (SAMMON, Jr. et al.) 04 January 2000 (04.01.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y	US 6,014,643 A (MINTON) 11 January 2000 (11.01.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,112,186 A (BERGH et al.) 29 August 2000 (29.08.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,108,639 A (WALKER et al.) 22 August 2000 (22.08.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,092,049 A (CHISLENKO et al.) 18 July 2000 (18.07.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,049,785 A (GIFFORD) 11 April 2000 (11.04.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,141,653 A (CONKLIN et al.) 31 October 2000 (31.10.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,141,006 A (KNOWLTON et al.) 31 October 2000 (31.10.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,131,087 A (LUKE et al.) 10 October 2000 (10.10.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,125,391 A (MELTZER et al.) 26 September 2000 (26.09.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,167,386 A (BROWN) 26 December 2000 (26.12.2000).	1-54, 56-67
Y,P	US 6,078,740 A (DETREVILLE) 20 June 2000 (20.06.2000).	1-54, 56-67

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"B" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

18 MAY 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Box PCT

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

James P Trammell

Telephone No. (703)306-5484

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern. application No.

PCT/US01/05609

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☒ Claim Nos.: 55
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
This claim references itself.
3. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US01/05609

Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item3: USPAT, US-PGPUB, EPO, JPO, DERWENT, IBM TDB Search terms: trading network, trading partners, trading rule, evaluation rule, purchase request, response, offer to sell, sale request, offer to buy, response automatically generated, ranked response, choice or selection of responses, *preferred trading partner*, *predetermined trading partners*, minimum preferred partner score, brand of products, geographical area, list of regions, list of counties, acceptable delivery record, evaluation rule based on price, weighted sum of two criteria, two criteria, node, retailers, distributors, manufacturers, internal order processing system, ERP, first node, second node, XML format, encrypted, public key cryptography, X.509, digital, signatures, central repository, trading performance, global rule parameters, calculating, score, weighted average, scores.